## Institute of Molecular Systems Biology

# Pinpointing phosphorylation sites using Selected Reaction Monitoring and Skyline



Christina Ludwig

group of Ruedi Aebersold, ETH Zürich



### The case study: Phosphorylation changes upon osmotic shock





(LTQ-Orbi)

#### phosphorylation results table

phospho-peptide sequence	before osmotic shock	after osmotic shock	ratio
YGGH <b>S[p]</b> MSDPGTTYR	367889	90293	0.25
KG <b>S[p]</b> MADVPK	562301	574839	1.02
SASAV <b>S[p]</b> LPAK	305	3992	13.1

#### Gpd2 = Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 2

Peptide sequence	phospho-site	PepP probability	before osmotic shock A	before osmotic shock B	before osmotic shock C	after osmotic shock A	after osmotic shock B	after osmotic shock C	ratio	Ttest
S[p]DSAVSIVHLK	[S70]	0.9881	0	4567890	355678	432123	0	0	0.18	#DIV/0!
SD <b>S[p]</b> AVSIVHLK	[S72]	0.9999	5320300	4670960	4236830	0	1042920	1154030	0.23	3.0E-03
SDSAV <b>S[p]</b> IVHLK	[S75]	0.9961	1915980	1574980	1120860	510526	1309220	0	0.59	2.3E-01
S[p]DSAVS[p]IVHLK	[\$70],[\$75]	0.9788	834567	0	590897	0	890753	0	1.25	#DIV/0!
SD <b>S[p]</b> AV <b>S[p]</b> IVHLK	[\$72],[\$75]	0.9888	966789	1056789	997654	0	780864	697650	0.73	1.0E-02

Gpd1 = Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1

Peptide sequence	phospho-site	PepP probability	before osmotic shock A	before osmotic shock B	before osmotic shock C	after osmotic shock A	after osmotic shock B	after osmotic shock C	ratio	Ttest
S <b>S[p]</b> SSVSLK	[S23]	0.9671	0	0	789098	0	987539	0	1.25	#DIV/0!
SS <b>S[p]</b> SVSLK	[S24]	0.9979	6086840	5087770	4586845	0	22236600	18536600	3.88	2.0E-03
SSS <b>S[p]</b> VSLK	[S25]	0.9995	2345001	0	2678960	5754910	4796671	5196380	2.09	5.5E-03
SSSSV <b>S[p]</b> LK	[S27]	0.9889	2377960	227652	2146060	2806640	0	2502570	1.68	3.1E-01
SS <b>S[p</b> ]SV <b>S[p]</b> LK	[S24],[S27]	0.9991	6744910	5888620	4656130	20481500	16751200	12117300	2.85	1.3E-02

- Are all identified phospho-sites truly occurring in the sample?
- Do the obtained quantitative results reflect true biological changes?

#### Targeted phospho-proteomics using SRM and Skyline ←





### Getting phospho-peptides into Skyline

(phospho)peptide selection

Peptide Settings
Digestion Prediction Filter Library Modifications
Structural modifications: ✓ Carbamidomethyl Cysteine ✓ Phospho (ST) ✓ Phospho (Y)
Max variable mods: Max neutral losses: 3 1
Isotope label type: heavy
Edit list
Internal standard type: heavy
OK Cancel

(phospho)peptide selection



#### All Uniprot modifications available

Edit Structural Modification	×
Name: Phospho (ST)	OK Cancel
Amino acid: Terminus: S, T 🔹 🔽 Variable	
Chemical formula:	
HU3P	
Monoisotopic mass:Average mass:79.96633179.979901	Loss <<
Neutral losses:	
97.9769 - H3O4P	



automatic selection of the y-ion and b-ion series (> 300 Da) using the transition filter settings in Skyline

shared transitionsunique transitions

peptide sequence	phospho- site	precursor m/z	y10 b1 [m/z]	y9 b2 [m/z]	y8 b3 [m/z]	y7 b4 [m/z]	y6 b5 [m/z]	y5 b6 [m/z]	y4 b7 [m/z]	y3 b8 [m/z]	y2 b9 [m/z]	y1 b10 [m/z]
<b>S[p]</b> DSAVSIVHLK	[\$70]	622.31	1076.62 -	961.59 -	874.56 370.06	803.52 441.1	704.45 540.17	617.42 627.20	504.27 740.29	405.27 839.35	- 976.41	- 1089.50
SD <b>S[p]</b> AVSIVHLK	[S72]	622.31	1156.59 -	1041.56 -	874.56 370.06	803.52 441.1	704.45 540.17	617.42 627.20	504.27 740.29	405.27 839.35	- 976.41	- 1089.50
SDSAV <b>S[p]</b> IVHLK	[S75]	622.31	1156.59 -	1041.56 -	954.53 290.10	883.49 361.14	784.42 460.20	617.42 627.20	504.27 740.29	405.27 839.35	- 976.41	- 1089.50



 $\rightarrow$  of synthetic peptides

SRM measurement





all phospho-peptide forms are separable in retention time

#### Targeting of synthetic phospho-peptides for Gpd1

SRM measurement



### Discrimination of [S24] [S25] despite co-elution

SRM measurement

peptide sequence	phospho- site	precursor m/z	y7 [m/z]	y6 [m/z]	y5 [m/z]	y4 [m/z]	y3 [m/z]	rt [min]
<b>S[p]</b> SSSVSLK	[S22]	437.70	707.40	628.38	541.34	454.31	355.24	6.0
S <b>S[p]</b> SSVSLK	[S23]	437.70	795.37	628.38	541.34	454.31	355.24	5.8
SSS[p]SVSLK	[S24]	437.70	795.37	708.43	541.34	454.31	355.24	5.6
SSSS[p]VSLK	[S25]	437.70	795.37	708.43	621.31	454.31	355.24	5.6
SSSSV <b>S[p]</b> LK	[S27]	437.70	795.37	708.43	621.31	534.28	435.21	6.3



### Discrimination of [S24] [S25] despite co-elution

SRM measurement

peptide sequence	phospho- site	precursor m/z	y7 [m/z]	y6 [m/z]	y5 [m/z]	y4 [m/z]	y3 [m/z]	rt [min]
<b>S[p]</b> SSSVSLK	[S22]	437.70	707.40	628.38	541.34	454.31	355.24	6.0
S <b>S[p]</b> SSVSLK	[S23]	437.70	795.37	628.38	541.34	454.31	355.24	5.8
SSS[p]SVSLK	[S24]	437.70	795.37	708.43	541.34	454.31	355.24	5.6
SSSS[p]VSLK	[S25]	437.70	795.37	708.43	621.31	454.31	355.24	5.6
SSSSV <b>S[p]</b> LK	[S27]	437.70	795.37	708.43	621.31	534.28	435.21	6.3



### Retention time information is important for pinpointing phospho-sites









#### The problem:

Accurately measured empirical retention times are dependent on the setup of the currently used chromatographic system





#### The solution:

Usage of a **set of calibration peptides** to normalize all peptide retention times to a **dimensionless "iRT value**"



Consequence in practice: retention times need to be determined over and over again Consequence in practice: once an iRT value is determined, the respective peptide can be scheduled and identified on any LC system

Escher C. *et al.*, Proteomics, **2012**, 12, 1111-1121 Monday AM, Poster 624, Escher C. *et al.* 









### SSS[p]SVS[p]LK [S24,27]



**3.5-fold up-regulation** (t-test p-value = 4.4E-4)





Targeted analysis of phosphorylation using SRM provides quantitative data of high quality, accuracy and reproducibility

Requirement: A priori knowledge

#### Specific phosphorylation-sites can be pinpointed with high confidence

Requirement: Learn the chromatographic behavior of phospho-peptide forms from synthetic reference peptides

Application of the iRT concept improves acquisition scheduling and peptide identification

Requirement: Consistent use of retention time calibration peptides

### Thank you



- → Ana Paula Oliveira
- → Paola Picotti

#### **TSQ-support**

→ Mariette Matondo
→ Nathalie Selevsek

#### Ruedi Aebersold and the whole Aebersold lab





→ Brendan MacLean → Alana Killeen



→ Lukas Reiter
→ Oliver Rinner





YeastX Towards an Understanding of Nutrient Signaling and Metabolic Operation 1. Loss of  $H_3PO_4 = -98$  Da

R

R



#### **Conclusion**

622.31

785.51

For peptides comprising several possibly phosphorylated residues phospho-site assignments based exclusively on H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-neutral loss fragment ions can be erroneous,

because their occurrence can also be due to a water-loss of a non-phosphorylated serine residue.

example for  $H_3PO_4$  (-98 Da) phosphate loss interference

peptide Phos- pho- sequence site	pre- cursor m/z	y10 y10-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b1 b1-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y9 y9-H₃PO₄ b2 b2-H₃PO₄ [m/z]	y8 y8-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b3 b3- H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y7 y7-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b4 b4-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y6 y6-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b5 b5-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y5 y5-H₃PO₄ b6 b6-H₃PO₄ [m/z]	y4 y4-H₃PO₄ b7 b7-H₃PO₄ [m/z]	y3 y3-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b8 b8-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y2 y2-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b9 b9-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	y1 y1-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> b10 b10-H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> [m/z]	rt[mi n]
<b>S[p]</b> DSAVSIVHLK [S70]	622.3 1	1076.62 - - -	961.59 - - -	874.56 - 370.06 252.09	803.52 - 441.1 343.12	704.45 - 540.17 442.19	617.42 - 627.20 529.23	504.27 - 740.29 642.31	405.27 - 839.35 741.38	- 976.41 878.44	- - 1089.50 991.52	14.6
SD <b>S[p]</b> AVSIVHLK [S72]	622.3 1	1156.59 1058.61 - -	1041.56 943.53 - -	874.56 - 370.06 252.09	803.52 - 441.1 343.12	704.45 - 540.17 442.19	617.42 - 627.20 529.23	504.27 - 740.29 642.31	405.27 - 839.35 741.38	- 976.41 878.44	- - 1089.50 991.52	14.3
SDSAV <b>S[p]</b> IVHLK [S75]	622.3 1	1156.59 1058.61 - -	1041.56 943.53 - -	954.53 856.55 290.10 -	883.49 785.51 361.14 -	784.42 686.44 460.20	617.42 - 627.20 529.23	504.27 - 740.29 642.31	405.27 - 839.35 741.38	- 976.41 878.44	- - 1089.50 991.52	14.9
500 400 200 100 0	y8 - 954. 98 - 98 - 6 97 - 883. 97 - 98 - 784. 96 - 784. 96 - 98 - 6 14.5 Ret	<b>570]</b> 5263+ 556.5494+ 4892+ 85.5123+ 4208+ 386.4439+ <b>4.8</b> 15.0 ention Time		<b>Intensity (10~3)</b>	[S7 y8-954.52 y8-98-850 y7-883.48 y7-98-78 y6-784.42 y6-98-680 14.2 14.4 Reter	<b>2]</b> <sup>63+</sup> <sup>5.5494+</sup> <sup>92+</sup> <sup>5.5123+</sup> <sup>08+</sup> <sup>6.4439+</sup> <b>4.5</b> <sup>14.6</sup> <sup>14.6</sup> <sup>14.8</sup> <sup>14.6</sup>	•••••• • 15.0	100, 100, 200 100 0 0	[S	<b>75]</b>		

#### 2. Loss of $HPO_3 = -80$ Da



#### Conclusion

For peptides comprising **several possibly phosphorylated residues** phospho-site **assignments** based exclusively on **fragment ions NOT carrying the phosphate group** can be **erroneous**, because their occurrence can also be due to a neutral loss of HPO<sub>3</sub>.

cel

in Edit	iRT Calculator			X		
Name: Yeast_i iRT data Z:\Tina Ope	BT abase: \Skyline\iRT_Skyline_Database\Yeast_iRT.irtdb n Create		OK Cancel			
	Modified Sequence	i RT Val	ue	^		
•	GTFIIDPAAIVR	86.72				
	TGFIIDPGGVIR	71.38				
	DAVTPADFSEWSK	54.97				
	GDLDAASYYAPVR	43.28				
	TPVITGAPYYER	33.63				
	TPVISGGPYYER	29.00		~		
Measure	ed peptides:	ides	Recalibrat	:e		
	Modified Sequence	iRT Val	ue	^		
	S[+80.0]SSSVSLK	-18.74				
	SSSSVS[+80.0]LK	-17.98				
	SSS[+80.0]SVS[+80.0]LK	-17.50				
	SDS[+80.0]AVSIVHLK	32.15				
	S[+80.0]DSAVSIVHLK	33.97				
	SDSAVS[+80.0]IVHLK	35.56		~		
199 Pep	itides		Add			

17





